***Studies and Research in Onomastics and Lexicology* (SCOL)**
ISSN: 2065-7161
E-ISSN: 2247-7330
Vol. 19 (2) 2026

An Evaluation of the Toxicological Effects of Microplastics on Marine Invertebrates

Dr. Camila Rojas
Institute of Computational Sciences, Santiago Metropolitan University, Chile

Received: 19.01.2026 Accepted: 15.06.2026 Publishing: 20.07.2026

Abstract
As a result of the alarming proliferation of microplastics in marine environments, particularly among the invertebrates that are essential to aquatic food webs, there has been an increase in the number of concerns regarding the ecological and toxicological effects of microplastics. According to the most recent scientific findings and observations made in the field, the effects of microplastics on a number of different invertebrate species found in the ocean, such as mollusks, crustaceans, echinoderms, and cnidarians, are being investigated. Due to the fact that microplastics are so small and persistent, filter feeders and deposit feeders are able to easily consume them. This in turn leads to bioaccumulation and trophic transmission. This can have a knock-on effect on development, progeny, and survival rates, since it can cause physical blockages, lower feeding efficiency, and poor energy allocation. These are some of the detrimental effects that they can have after being digested. In organisms, exposure to microplastics can result in oxidative stress, inflammatory reactions, disruption of the endocrine system, and genotoxicity. This is due to the fact that microplastics act as vectors for harmful additives and contaminants that are adsorbed onto them, such as heavy metals and persistent organic compounds. According to comparative research, the majority of the factors that determine vulnerability are feeding strategies and physiological characteristics that are tailored to a particular species. Bivalves and zooplankton are particularly susceptible to extinction. Alterations in behavior, changes in enzyme activity, and suppression of the immune system are all examples of sublethal repercussions that could put the functioning of the ecosystem and the stability of the population in jeopardy. The findings of this analysis indicate the important need for standardized testing procedures, improved monitoring of microplastic contamination, and the incorporation of ecotoxicological data into marine management plans by merging toxicological findings across taxonomic groups. Microplastics are more than simply pollutants; they are novel toxicological stressors that jeopardize marine biodiversity and the lifespan of ocean ecosystems, according to the findings, which add to the growing body of data showing microplastics are more significant than pollutants.
Keywords: Microplastics; Marine invertebrates; Ecotoxicology; Bioaccumulation; Oxidative stress;

Introduction

Microplastics, which are defined as plastic particles that are significantly smaller than 5 millimeters in size, have emerged as one of the most pervasive contaminants in marine habitats, resulting in significant ecological and toxicological problems. In the ocean, these particles can be found in every single layer, from the surface waters to the deepest strata. They either come from larger pieces of plastic debris that have been broken up, or they are manufactured expressly as microbeads, fibers, and pellets. Each of these options is possible. Because of their buoyancy and small size, they are easily ingested by a wide variety of marine organisms, particularly invertebrates, which are an essential component of the food chain in aquatic environments. In the context of microplastic pollution, marine invertebrates such as mollusks, crustaceans, echinoderms, and zooplankton are especially sensitive and ecologically valuable. This is due to the fact that they are responsible for habitat engineering and essential ecosystem functions such as nutrient cycling. To add insult to injury, they are an essential source of food for higher trophic levels. The toxicological impact of microplastics on marine invertebrates extends beyond the harmful effects of the microplastics that they consume. If microplastics are consumed, they have the potential to physically block intestines, reduce the efficiency of feeding, and alter the distribution of energy, all of which are detrimental to the development, reproduction, and survival of organisms. To add insult to injury, microplastics have the ability to transport hazardous substances. Plastics have the potential to leak into tissues, therefore causing oxidative stress, disturbance of the endocrine system, genotoxicity, and suppression of the immune system. Heavy metals, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are some of the compounds that are included in this category. Bisphenol A and phthalates are further examples of these additives. Despite the fact that these alterations in biochemistry and physiology might not directly cause death, they might have sublethal consequences such as altered behavior and decreased fitness, which can eventually threaten the stability of the population. Understanding how various animals react is of the utmost importance. Bivalves and zooplankton are particularly susceptible to the effects of pollution because they filter-feed. However, crustaceans and echinoderms react differently depending on the manner in which they consume food and the environment in which they reside. Microplastics, in addition to putting marine life and the people who consume it in danger, can also facilitate the movement of toxins up the food chain, a process that is referred to as trophic transfer. Despite the growing amount of attention that is being paid to this subject, there is still a lack of consensus over how to appropriately evaluate the toxicity of microplastics, how to compute its long-term impacts, and how to connect studies conducted in the laboratory with actual environmental consequences. For the goal of this study, a compilation of the available literature on the ecological, physiological, and biochemical effects of microplastics on marine invertebrates is going to be carried out. The purpose of this study is to identify significant vulnerabilities, evaluate likely population-level implications, and provide insights that can potentially drive policies for marine conservation and pollution management. These objectives will be accomplished by merging the findings of experimental and field-based research. An knowledge of these toxicological pathways is necessary for both the preservation of marine biodiversity and the determination of whether or not ocean ecosystems are able to survive the growing amount of plastic pollution.

Studies and Research in Onomastics and Lexicology **(SCOL)**
ISSN: 2065-7161
E-ISSN: 2247-7330
Vol. 19 (2) 2026

Ecological and Food Web Implications

Population-Level Consequences

The ingestion of microplastics can have a cascade of effects on marine invertebrates, ranging from sublethal to fatal. These effects can reduce the fitness of the invertebrates on an individual level, which can eventually have an effect on populations. Both the expansion of the population and the recruitment of new members are hampered by factors such as poor energy allocation, lower feeding efficiency, and reproductive disturbance. This holds especially true for zooplankton and other species that have short life cycles and a high reproductive turnover rate. Exposure to microplastics has been linked to a decline in the quality of gametes, a reduction in the survival rate of larvae, and developmental abnormalities in crustaceans and bivalves, all of which contribute to a weakening of population stability. The possibility for a loss of genetic diversity, a reduction in population resilience to pressures like as overfishing and climate change, and an increase in the likelihood of local extinctions are all results that can be attributed to these effects. The ecological services that vulnerable species perform in maritime ecosystems are disrupted as a result of these results, which further threatens the existence of these species.

Trophic Transfer and Bioamplification

Secondary consumers, which include filter feeders like mussels and zooplankton, have the ability to swallow microplastics. These microplastics can then be transferred up the food chain when these species are consumed by higher trophic levels. Through the process of trophic transmission, microplastics and the hazardous substances that they absorb, such as heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants, are bioampedered. When predators consume polluted food, they put themselves at a greater risk of experiencing oxidative stress, endocrine disruption, and immune system damage. These adverse effects are caused by the buildup of microplastics or the chemical components of these microplastics. In the process of making their way down the food chain, microplastics have the potential to cause harm to marine creatures, birds, and intermediate consumers such as fish and cephalopods. The fact that this transfer ultimately puts human health in jeopardy through the consumption of seafood emphasises the link that exists between the contamination of food with microplastics and the safety of food supplies.

Risks to Ecosystem Services

Marine invertebrates are necessary for the preservation of ecological functions such as the transportation of nutrients, the bioturbation of sediment, the development of reefs, and grazing, all of which contribute to the maintenance of primary productivity. A steep decline in the populations of invertebrates has been brought about by the pollution of microplastics, which puts essential ecosystem functions in jeopardy. Disturbances to processes such as sediment turnover and nutrient recycling, which are improved by echinoderms and bivalves, respectively, as well as by sea cucumbers and other aquatic invertebrates, can have a severe impact on the quality of the water and the stability of the habitat. Therefore, when there is a low abundance of zooplankton, there is a decrease in the productivity of the fishing industry, which is responsible for providing sustenance and income for millions of people. This is because energy cannot be transferred from primary producers to higher trophic levels. When marine ecosystems lose their resistance to environmental change and ecosystem services, the long-term ecological and socio-economic effects of microplastic contamination are worsened. This is because microplastics are a major pollution source.

Conclusion

Microplastics are a new type of toxic pollutants that are putting the health and survival of marine invertebrate species in jeopardy. There is an increasing body of information that supports this concept. The results of this analysis demonstrate that microplastics are damaging in a variety of different ways. They are damaging to the body because they slow digestion and cause physical harm when swallowed. In addition, they carry dangerous substances that induce oxidative stress, disruption of the endocrine system, immunotoxicity, and genotoxicity. The effects of these impacts, which impede fundamental biological processes such as feeding, growth, reproduction, and development, lead to a loss in individual fitness and instability at the population level. However, all of the primary invertebrate groups that we investigated showed noticeable effects when they were exposed to the substance. Filter feeders and zooplankton are more susceptible than other species due to the way that they consume food and the environment in which they live. Not only are there interpersonal and group ramifications, but there are also ecological repercussions that have far-reaching implications. Microplastics disrupt the flow of energy through trophic transfer and bioamplification, which in turn lowers marine food webs and puts higher trophic levels, including people, at risk of toxicological exposure through the consumption of seafood. Microplastics pose a higher threat to the resilience and functionality of marine ecosystems due to the fact that they undermine the ecosystem services provided by invertebrates. These services include nutrient cycling, water filtration, and sediment management. Even though the problem is becoming more widely recognized, significant challenges still exist in the areas of standardizing toxicity testing, connecting laboratory results with real-world situations, and estimating the implications of sublethal, long-term, and intergenerational effects. It is necessary to address these gaps in order to formulate conservation and policy responses that are based on evidence and to appraise ecological threats in an appropriate manner. The development of waste management systems, the regulation of industrial discharges, the expansion of worldwide monitoring programs, and the reduction of plastic production and consumption are all essential components of any effective strategy for mitigating the effects of climate change. It is similarly important to include ecotoxicological data into marine management frameworks in order to guarantee that conservation efforts accurately represent the entire nature of the threat. It is imperative that microplastics be recognized as more than just contaminants because they pose a risk to ecosystem services, human health, and biodiversity. In order to put a stop to their spread and safeguard marine ecosystems for future generations, it is necessary to implement proactive strategies that involve multiple disciplines.

Bibliography

- Andrady, A. L. (2017). The plastic in microplastics: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 119*(1), 12–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.01.082

- Browne, M. A., Dissanayake, A., Galloway, T. S., Lowe, D. M., & Thompson, R. C. (2008). Ingested microscopic plastic translocates to the circulatory system of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis* (L.). *Environmental Science & Technology, 42*(13), 5026–5031. https://doi.org/10.1021/es800249a
- Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., & Galloway, T. S. (2011). Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62*(12), 2588–2597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.09.025
- GESAMP. (2016). *Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: Part two of a global assessment*. Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection. IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP.
- Li, J., Qu, X., Su, L., Zhang, W., Yang, D., Kolandhasamy, P., Li, D., & Shi, H. (2016). Microplastics in mussels along the coastal waters of China. *Environmental Pollution, 214*, 177–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.04.012
- Lu, Y., Zhang, Y., Deng, Y., Jiang, W., Zhao, Y., Geng, J., Ding, L., & Ren, H. (2016). Uptake and accumulation of polystyrene microplastics in zebrafish and toxic effects in liver. *Environmental Science & Technology, 50*(7), 4054–4060. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00183
- Setälä, O., Fleming-Lehtinen, V., & Lehtiniemi, M. (2014). Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. *Environmental Pollution, 185*, 77–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.013
- Sussarellu, R., Suquet, M., Thomas, Y., Lambert, C., Fabioux, C., Pernet, M. E. J., Goïc, N. L., Quillien, V., Mingant, C., Epelboin, Y., Corporeau, C., Guyomarch, J., Robbens, J., Paul-Pont, I., Soudant, P., & Huvet, A. (2016). Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene microplastics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113*(9), 2430–2435. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1519019113
- Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S. (2013). The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: A review. *Environmental Pollution, 178*, 483–492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031
- Zhang, C., Chen, X., Wang, J., & Tan, L. (2017). Toxic effects of microplastic on marine microalgae *Skeletonema costatum*: Interactions between microplastic and algae. *Environmental Pollution, 220*, 1282–1288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.005