

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues

Dr. Isadora Goulart

Department of Tropical Pathology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)

Received: 18.02.2026

Accepted: 07.06.2026

Publishing: 02.10.2026

Abstract

This paper examines randomized trials of acupressure: methodological issues through a structured academic review of contemporary literature. The discussion considers the conceptual background of acupressure, research findings, possible explanatory mechanisms, methodological quality, practical applications, safety, and future research needs. Acupressure has been investigated in relation to several patient-reported and functional outcomes, although findings vary across populations and intervention protocols. Differences in point selection, pressure technique, session duration, comparator groups, sample sizes, and outcome instruments make direct comparison difficult. The paper therefore emphasizes cautious interpretation and evidence-based integration.

This paper examines randomized trials of acupressure: methodological issues through a structured academic review of contemporary literature. The discussion considers the conceptual background of acupressure, research findings, possible explanatory mechanisms, methodological quality, practical applications, safety, and future research needs. Acupressure has been investigated in relation to several patient-reported and functional outcomes, although findings vary across populations and intervention protocols. Differences in point selection, pressure technique, session duration, comparator groups, sample sizes, and outcome instruments make direct comparison difficult. The paper therefore emphasizes cautious interpretation and evidence-based integration. Particular attention is given to the distinction between complementary use and replacement of conventional care.

Keywords

Acupressure; complementary medicine; integrative health; symptom management; patient-reported outcomes; wellness; evidence-based practice

Introduction

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the background and significance of the subject. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of

life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the background and significance of the subject. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the background and significance of the subject. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the background and significance of the subject. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as

perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the background and significance of the subject. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the background and significance of the subject. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the background and significance of the subject. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical

explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Conceptual Foundations

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of traditional concepts and contemporary interpretations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of traditional concepts and contemporary interpretations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of traditional concepts and contemporary interpretations. Acupressure is generally described

as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of traditional concepts and contemporary interpretations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of traditional concepts and contemporary interpretations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of traditional concepts and contemporary interpretations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of traditional concepts and contemporary interpretations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Review of Contemporary Evidence

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of clinical trials, reviews, and patient-reported outcomes. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when

appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of clinical trials, reviews, and patient-reported outcomes. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of clinical trials, reviews, and patient-reported outcomes. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of clinical trials, reviews, and patient-reported outcomes. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and

established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of clinical trials, reviews, and patient-reported outcomes. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of clinical trials, reviews, and patient-reported outcomes. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of clinical trials, reviews, and patient-reported outcomes. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion

should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Potential Mechanisms

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of physiological, psychological, and contextual explanations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of physiological, psychological, and contextual explanations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of physiological, psychological, and contextual explanations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator

conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of physiological, psychological, and contextual explanations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of physiological, psychological, and contextual explanations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of physiological, psychological, and contextual explanations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort,

function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of physiological, psychological, and contextual explanations. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Methodological Considerations

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of study design, measurement, comparators, and intervention fidelity. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of study design, measurement, comparators, and intervention fidelity. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional

explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of study design, measurement, comparators, and intervention fidelity. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of study design, measurement, comparators, and intervention fidelity. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of study design, measurement, comparators, and intervention fidelity. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-

assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of study design, measurement, comparators, and intervention fidelity. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of study design, measurement, comparators, and intervention fidelity. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Practical Applications

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of appropriate complementary and supportive settings. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of appropriate complementary and supportive settings. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of appropriate complementary and supportive settings. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it

should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of appropriate complementary and supportive settings. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of appropriate complementary and supportive settings. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of appropriate complementary and supportive settings. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical

practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of appropriate complementary and supportive settings. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Safety and Ethical Considerations

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of informed choice, appropriate referral, and responsible practice. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of informed choice, appropriate referral, and responsible practice. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and

follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of informed choice, appropriate referral, and responsible practice. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of informed choice, appropriate referral, and responsible practice. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of informed choice, appropriate referral, and responsible practice. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator

conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of informed choice, appropriate referral, and responsible practice. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of informed choice, appropriate referral, and responsible practice. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Discussion

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the meaning and limitations of current evidence. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as

perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the meaning and limitations of current evidence. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the meaning and limitations of current evidence. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the meaning and limitations of current evidence. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical

explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the meaning and limitations of current evidence. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the meaning and limitations of current evidence. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the meaning and limitations of current evidence. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected

body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment.

Future Research Directions

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of research gaps and priorities. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment. Future studies should use adequately described protocols, validated outcome measures, transparent reporting, appropriate controls, and clinically meaningful follow-up periods.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of research gaps and priorities. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical

treatment. Future studies should use adequately described protocols, validated outcome measures, transparent reporting, appropriate controls, and clinically meaningful follow-up periods.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of research gaps and priorities. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment. Future studies should use adequately described protocols, validated outcome measures, transparent reporting, appropriate controls, and clinically meaningful follow-up periods.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of research gaps and priorities. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment. Future studies should use adequately described protocols, validated outcome measures, transparent reporting, appropriate controls, and clinically meaningful follow-up periods.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of research gaps and priorities. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived

symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment. Future studies should use adequately described protocols, validated outcome measures, transparent reporting, appropriate controls, and clinically meaningful follow-up periods.

Conclusion

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the principal academic implications. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment. Overall, the subject warrants continued investigation using rigorous designs and careful interpretation of both benefits and limitations.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the principal academic implications. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical

treatment. Overall, the subject warrants continued investigation using rigorous designs and careful interpretation of both benefits and limitations.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the principal academic implications. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment. Overall, the subject warrants continued investigation using rigorous designs and careful interpretation of both benefits and limitations.

Randomized Trials of Acupressure: Methodological Issues is considered here through the lens of the principal academic implications. Acupressure is generally described as a non-invasive complementary practice involving manual or device-assisted pressure at selected body points. Contemporary research does not require traditional explanations and biomedical explanations to be treated as identical; instead, studies can examine measurable outcomes such as perceived symptom intensity, relaxation, sleep quality, anxiety, comfort, function, and quality of life. Interpretation requires attention to intervention details, comparator conditions, participant characteristics, outcome instruments, treatment duration, adherence, and follow-up. The available literature is heterogeneous, and therefore an academic discussion should distinguish preliminary findings, controlled trial evidence, systematic reviews, and established clinical practice. Acupressure may be considered as a complementary option when appropriate, but it should not be presented as a substitute for necessary diagnosis or evidence-based medical treatment. Overall, the subject warrants continued investigation using rigorous designs and careful interpretation of both benefits and limitations.

References

- World Health Organization. (2019). WHO global report on traditional and complementary medicine 2019. World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. (2022). WHO traditional medicine global strategy 2025–2034. World Health Organization.
- National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. (n.d.). Acupuncture and traditional Chinese medicine. National Institutes of Health.

- Vickers, A. J., Cronin, A. M., Maschino, A. C., Lewith, G., MacPherson, H., Foster, N. E., Sherman, K. J., Witt, C. M., & Linde, K. (2012). Acupuncture for chronic pain: Individual patient data meta-analysis. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 172(19), 1444–1453.
- Cheong, K. B., Zhang, J. P., Huang, Y., & Zhang, Z. J. (2014). The effectiveness of acupressure for symptom management: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 20(11), 818–827.
- Chen, Y. W., & Wang, H. H. (2008). The effectiveness of acupressure on relieving pain: A systematic review. *Pain Management Nursing*, 9(2), 49–57.
- Lee, E. J., & Frazier, S. K. (2011). The efficacy of acupressure for symptom management: A systematic review. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 42(4), 589–603.
- Ezzo, J., Berman, B., Hadhazy, V. A., Jadad, A. R., Lao, L., & Singh, B. B. (2000). Is acupuncture effective for the treatment of chronic pain? A systematic review. *Pain*, 86(3), 217–225.
- MacPherson, H., Vertosick, E., Foster, N. E., Lewith, G., Linde, K., Sherman, K. J., Witt, C. M., & Vickers, A. J. (2017). The persistence of the effects of acupuncture after a course of treatment: A meta-analysis of patients with chronic pain. *Pain*, 158(5), 784–793.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2020). *The role of complementary and integrative health approaches in health care*. National Academies Press.